MARCH 28, 2008

MICHAEL W. DOBBINS
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

RECEIVED

FEB 1 9 2008

JEROME Allen JAME	Feb 19, 2008 MICHAEL W. DOBBINS CLERK, U.S. DICTRICT COURT
(Enter above the full name of the plaintiff or plaintiffs in this action)	08 cv 1019 JUDGE HOLDERMAN MAGISTRATE JUDGE SCHENKIER
vs. Michael Sheahan, Scott	Case
Kurtovich, Drector Andrei Sust. Doe. Chief Doe. Cap	us, Gain
Doe, Lt. Mundt, Sgt. Doo	dy, oM-Clenden
	hn Doe 1-30
CHECK ONE ONLY:	
COMPLAINT UNDER TO U.S. Code (state, county,	THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT, TITLE 42 SECTION 1983 or municipal defendants)
	THE CONSTITUTION ("BIVENS" ACTION), TITLE Code (federal defendants)
OTHER (cite statute, if k	nown)
BEFORE FILLING OUT THIS COMP FILING." FOLLOW THESE INSTRU	LAINT, PLEASE REFER TO "INSTRUCTIONS FOR ICTIONS CAREFULLY.

I.	Plaintiff(s):
----	---------------

A. Name: Jerome Allen James

B. List all aliases: David Johnson

C. Prisoner identification number: 2006-0024933

D. Place of present confinement: Cook County Jail

E. Address: <u>2600 5. Cali-tornia Chicago, III, 60608</u>

(If there is more than one plaintiff, then each plaintiff must list his or her name, aliases, I.D. number, place of confinement, and current address according to the above format on a separate sheet of paper.)

II. Defendant(s):

(In A below, place the full name of the first defendant in the first blank, his or her official position in the second blank, and his or her place of employment in the third blank. Space for two additional defendants is provided in B and C.)

A. Defendant: MIChael Sheahan

Title: (Former) Sheri77 of Cook County

Place of Employment: 9700 5. (2/17011) Chicago, I/1. 60608

B. Defendant: Scott Kurtovich

Title: (Former) Executive Director of Cook County Jail

Place of Employment: 27005. California Chicago, Il. 60608

C. Defendant: Director Andrews

Title: (Former) Ass. Director of Cook Country Jail

Place of Employment: 2700S. California Chiago, Ill. 60608

(If you have more than three defendants, then all additional defendants must be listed according to the above format on a separate sheet of paper.)

Case 1:08-cv-01019 Document 8 Filed 03/28/2008 Page 3 of 16 D. Defendant: Superintendent Doe, John Title: Superintendent Division 5 April 26, 2006 Place of Employment: 2700 S. California, Chicago, Ill. 60608
E. Defendant: Chief John Doe Title: Chief Division 5 April 26, 2006 Place of Employment: 2700 S. California, Chicago, T. 11. 60608
7. Defendant: Captain John Doe Title: Captain Division 5 (3-11 shift) April 26,2006 Place of Employment: 2500 S. California, Chicago, Ill. 60608
6. Defendant: Cieutenant Mundt Title: Lieutentant Division 3 (3-11 shift) April 26,2006 Place of Employment: 2700 s. California, Chicago, III. 60608
H. Defendant: Sergeant Doody Title: Sergeant Division 5 (3-11 shift) April 26, 2006 Place of Employment: 2700 s. California, Chicago, Ill. 60608
I. Defendant: Investogator M. Rentas Title: I.A.D. Investigator Place of Employment: 2700.5. Glifornia, Chicago, III. 60608

T. Defendant: Ollie Gavin Title: Correctional officer Division 5 (3-11 shift) Place of Employment: 2700 s. California, Chicago, Ill. 60608
K. Defendant: Tyrone Gilmore Title: Correctional Officer Division 5 (3-11 shift) Place of Employment: 2700 s. California, Chicago, Ill. 60608
U. Defendant Brian Ruzanski Title: Correctional Officer Division 5 (3-11 shift) Place of Employment 2700 s. California, Chicago, Tll. 60608
M. Defendant: Sergeant Wayne Title: Sergeant Division T (R.C.D.C. 2-10 shift) Place of Employment: 2700 s. California, Chicago, Ill. 60608
N. Defendant: Correctional Officer MSClenden Title: Correctional Officer Division 5 (R.C.D.C. 2-10 shift) Place of Employment: 2700 s. California, Chicago, Til. 60608
O. Defendant: John Does' 1-30 Title: Correctional Officers Division 5 3-11shift (R.C.D.C.) Place of Employment: 2700 s. California, Chicago, III. 60608

Ш.

t A rt	LL lawsuits you (and your co-plaintiffs, if any) have filed in any state or federal in the United States:
	Name of case and docket number:
	Approximate date of filing lawsuit:
	List all plaintiffs (if you had co-plaintiffs), including any aliases:
	List all defendants:
	Court in which the lawsuit was filed (if federal court, name the district; if state court, name the county):
	Name of judge to whom case was assigned:
	Basic claim made:
	Disposition of this case (for example: Was the case dismissed? Was it appealed? Is it still pending?):
	Approximate date of disposition:

IF YOU HAVE FILED MORE THAN ONE LAWSUIT, THEN YOU MUST DESCRIBE THE ADDITIONAL LAWSUITS ON ANOTHER PIECE OF PAPER, USING THIS SAME FORMAT. REGARDLESS OF HOW MANY CASES YOU HAVE PREVIOUSLY FILED, YOU WILL NOT BE EXCUSED FROM FILLING OUT THIS SECTION COMPLETELY, AND FAILURE TO DO SO MAY RESULT IN DISMISSAL OF YOUR CASE. CO-PLAINTIFFS MUST ALSO LIST ALL CASES THEY HAVE FILED.

IV. Statement of Claim:

State here as briefly as possible the facts of your case. Describe how each defendant is involved, including names, dates, and places. Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to allege a number of related claims, number and set forth each claim in a separate paragraph. (Use as much space as you need. Attach extra sheets if necessary.)

3. At all times relevant to this complaint Detendant Michael Sheahan was employed as Sheriff of Cook County, and was ultimately responsible for all policies, practices, and procedures of the Cook County Tail that apply to the detainers, and is individually responsible for Failing to take the reasonable steps to eliminate the Cook County Jail wide practice of excessive force set forth infra which was a direct and proximate cause of the excessive force suffered by the plaintiff. 4. Defendant Michael Sheahan (hereinafter" Sheahan") knew of this practice of excessive force, knew that his <u>subordinates were not taking the reasonable steps to </u> oliminate said practice of excessive force, and he him self failed to take the reasonable steps to eliminate said practice of excessive force alleged by plaintiff, in knowing dis regard of the fact that further instances of excessive force and detained injuries would result. He is sued in his individual and official capacities.

5. At all times relevant to this complaint Defendant Scott Kurtovich (hereinafter 'Kurtovich') was employed as the Chief Executive Director of Cook County Jail and was ultimately responsible for all policies, practices, and procedures of the Cook County Jail (hereinafter' (.C.J.) which applies to its detainers and his subordinates. He is begin in his individual and official capacities.

- 6. At all times relevant to this complaint, Detendant
 Assistant Executive Director Andrews (hereinatter
 Andrews") was employed as the Assistant Executive
 Director of C.C. J. He is sued in his individual
 and official capacities.
- 7. At all times relevant to this complaint, Defendant
 Superintendent John Doe was employed as the Superintendent
 Jent of Division 5. He is sued in his individual and
 official capacities. His real name is unknown to the
 Plaintiff. He will be identified at a later Jate and be
 properly named in an amended complaint.
- 8. At all times relevant to this complaint, Defendant
 Chief John Doe was employed as the Chief of Division
 5. He is sued in his individual and official capacities.
 Hes real name is unknown to the Plaintiff. He will be identified at a later date and properly named in an amended complaint.
- 9. At all times relevant to this complaint, Defendant
 Captain John Doe was employed as the Captain of
 Division 5 3to 11 shift. He is sued in his individual and
 official capacities. His real name is unknown to the
 Plaintiff. He will be identified at a later date and
 properly named in an amended complaint.

Pg. 5 Continued 10. At all times relevant to this complaint, Defendant Cieutenant Mundt (hereinafter "Mundt") was employed as a lieutenant in Division 5 360/1 shift at C.C.D. He is sued in his individual and official capacities.

11. At all times relevant to this complaint, Defendant
Sergeant Doody (hereinatter Doody") was employed as
a sergeant in Division 5 3 to 11 shift at C. C. T. the is
sued in his individual and official capacities.

17. At all times relevant to this complaint, Defendants
Ollie Gavin, (hereinatter "Gavin") Tyrone Gilmore, (hereinatter "Gilmore") and Brian Ruzanski (hereinatter "Ruzanski") were employed as Correctional Officers at Division 3 3 to 11
shift at C.C.T. They are sued in their individual and official capacities.

13. At all times relevant to this complaint, Defendated

Sergeant Wayne (hereinafter "Wayne") was employed as

a sergeant in Division 5 R.C.D.C. 2 to 10 shift at C.C. J.

He is sued in his individual and official capacities.

14. At all times relevant to this complaint, Defendant Correctional Officer McClenden (hereinafter "McClenden") was employed as a Correctional Officer in Division 5 R.C.D.C. 2 to 10 shift at C.C.T. He is sued in his individual and

Pg. 5 Continued

OHICIAL CAPACIEIS.

15. At all times relevant to this complaint, Defendant M. Kentas (hereinatter "Rentas") was employed as an Internal Attairs Investigator at the Cook County Tail, He is sued in his individual and official capacities.

16. At all times relevant to this complaint, Detendants John Doe 1-30 were employed as Correctional Officers IN DIVISION 5 and DIVISION 5 R.C.D.C. at the Cook County Sail. They are being seed in their individual and official capacities. The real names and identities of John Doe 1-30 are unknown to the Plaintiff. It able to name and identify these John Does they will be properly added in an amended complaint. Color of state law:

18. All of the Defendants have acted under the color of State law at all times relevant to this complaint

18. Excessive force claim - individual liability and deliberate indifference.

19. On April 26,2006 Detendants Sheahan, Kurtovich, Andrews, Supt. Doe, Chief Doe, Captain Doe, Mundt, Doody, Wayne, Gavin, Bilmore, Ruzanski, MEClenden,

Pg- Scontinued 795 (1)

Case 1:08-cv-01019 Document 8 Filed 03/28/2008 Page 11 of 16

Lentas, and John Does 1-30 were duly employed

employees of the Cook County Department of

Corrections working at the Cook County Jail within

their scope of employment and pursuant to the practice,

policies, and procedures of the Cook County Jail

which applies to it's Detainees.

Count One:

- Detween tiers I-A and I-B the Plaintiff was beaten, punched, stomped, kicked, and dragged by Defendants Gavin, Gilmore, Ruzanski, Doody, and John Doe's I-20 these actions were done maliciously and sadistically for the sole purpose of causing the Plaintiff physical pain and whering. Defendants Chief Doe, Captoin Doe, and Mundt stood by watching, allowing, and condoning the use of excessive force on the Plaintiff.
- al. As a direct and proximate cause of the above described actions and inactions of the Defendants,

 The Plaintiff 8th and 14th United States Constitutional rights to be free from excessive force and cruel and unusual punishment were violated. The Plaintiff also suffered head, neck and back trauma, and pain throughout the entire body which continues.

795 (5) Pg.5 Continued

22. On information and belief, Defendants Bavin, Gilmore, Doe 1-30 McClenden, and Wayne have engaged in several Jozen past instances of excessive force on C.C.T. detainees, Which would constitute as appractice, It was pursuant to this practice of excessive force that defendants used on Plaint,77 as set forth in paragraphs I through 21 Supra and incorporated herein. Defendants sheahan, Kurtovich Andrews, Supt. Doe, Chief Doe, Captan Doe, Mundt, and Kentas knew of this practice of excessive force, knew that this practice of excessive force posed an imminent rist of sorious bodily harm it not death to the detaines 07 C.C. J. and failed to take the reasonable steps to protect Plaint 177 and others from said practice of excessive force, despite the duty they owned Plaintiff. There fore directly, and proximately causing the excessive force suffered as described in paragraphs 1-21 and incorporated herein. Detendants Sheahan Knew of past usage of excessive force practice, knew it was continuely going on, knew his subordinates were not taking the reasonable steps to protect the Tail detainees, and therefore he, himself encouraged, allowed, condoned, and approved of the excessive force practice at C.C.J.

795

Pg-Scontinued

Case 1:08-cy-01019 Document 8 Filed 03/28/2008 Page 13 of 16

33. Moreover the excessive force suffered by Plaintiff

and other C. C. T. Detainees would be covered up. Defendants Sheahan, kurtovich, Andrews, Supt. Doe,

Chief Doe, and Rentas took steps insuring that the

actions of the defendants and other C.C. T. Officers

would be covered up, Therefore further encouraging

the practice and procedure of excessive force on

C. C. T. Detainees.

Count Too:
Realleging paragraphs 1-23 above and incorporating
herein.

94. On April 26,2006 at approximately 4:08 pm in the C.C.T. Division 5 hallway outside the Security.

Othice immediately following the excessive force used on Plaintiff alleged in paragraph 20 count one. The Plaintiff was hand culted behind the back, placed in leg irons and put on his knees facing a brick wall.

Defendants favin and filmore approached the Plaintiff from behind and begin smashing his head into the wall, This was done for the sole purpose of causing the plaintiff pain and suffering. As a result the Plaintiff sitered socious head and neck injuries, and an approximate two inch (accration and swelling to the forehead. Defendants Mundt, Chief and Captain Doe

Pg. 5 Continued

795 1 Case 1:08-cv-01019, Document 8 Filed 03/28/2008 Page 14 of 16
5600d nearby watching, and condoning the use of excessive force and Jid nothing to protect the Plaintiff. The actions of the Defendants were Jone deliberately and maliciously at all times relevant to this complaint.

25. In establishing municipal liability on this count. The Plaintiff realleges and incorporates into this paragraph the allegations of paragraphs 1-24 supra.

Count Three:
Kealleging paragraphs 1-25 above and incorporating here in

26. On April 26, 2006 at approximately 4:20 pm in C.C.J.

Division 3 R.C.D.C. Defendants Ruzanski, Mundt, McClenden, Wayne, and John Doe's 21-30 beat, stompad punched, kicked, and other wise fortured the Plainti77, While the Plaintiff was in Juli restraints (hand cuffs and leg irons.) and unable to protect housed or defend homself. As a result of this excessive force the Plaintiff suffered multiple bruises and lacerations over his body, serious cuts to the wrists and anties when officer's purposely tightened the cutts and legirons, A chipped tooth, and a severe cut to the lower lip which required 6 stitches to close. The actions of the detendants were done deliberately and

Po.5 Continued

Case 1:08-cv-01019, Document 8, Filed 03/28/2008, Page 15 of 16 Maliciously at all times relevant to this complaint.
27. In establishing municipal liability on this count, The Plaintiff realleges and on incorporates into this paragraph graph allegations of paragraph 19 supra.
The Paint Aurther alleges that Defendants kurlowich, supt. and Chief Doe, Andrews and Rentas took steps in covering up the use of excessive force against the Plaint Aby placing the Plaint Ainto Administrative Segregation (A.B.O. or S.T.) for a period of 9 months approximately. Then charged criminally. The Detainee Grievance filed by the Plaint For Administrative Relief was not properly investigated, no witnesses nor was the Plaint Frinterviewed. Investigator Rentas added in covering up the excessive force used against the Plaint From Covering up the excessive force used against the Plaint From Covering up the excessive force used against the Plaint From Covering up the excessive force used against the Plaint From Covering up the excessive force used against the Plaint From Covering up the excessive force used against the Plaint From Covering up the excessive force used against the Plaint From Covering up the excessive force used against the Plaint From Covering up the excessive force used against the Plaint From Covering up the excessive force used against the Plaint From Covering up the excessive force used against the Plaint From Covering up the excessive force used against the Plaint From Covering up the excessive force used against the Plaint From Covering up the excessive force used against the Plaint From Covering up the excessive force used against the Plaint From Covering up the Excessive force used against the Plaint From Covering up the Excessive force used against the Plaint From Covering up the Excessive force used against the Plaint From Covering up the Excessive force used against the Plaint From Covering up the Excessive force used against the Plaint From Covering up the Excessive force used against the Plaint From Covering up the Excessive force of the Plaint From Covering up the Excessive force of the Plaint From Covering up the Excessive force of the Plaint From Covering up the Excessive force of the Ex

V. Relief:

State briefly exactly what you want the court to do for you. Make no legal arguments. Cite no cases or statutes.

As to C	ount 0	ne: M	onetar	y and	Punifi	ve Da	Maaes	
Against	each i	De-Fend	ant. A	5 £0	COUNT	TWOS	Monet	<u>a</u> z
and Pun	itive I	<i>>an8ue</i>	5 2,931	inst e	ach D	etenda amag	nt.As	£0,
Count-	Three:	Monet	aryan	d Punc	tive I	20MAa	<u>es a da</u>	inst
each.T	otenda	nt.In	IJUNCE!	ve rei	107 di	recting	DP4P	ndānts
each I	Caent	5 5 60 1	Petrame	<u> 2 From</u>	USING	Oxcess	We 701	CON
7/2/MEDA	120				~ 0	_	1	
VI. The p	laintiff den	nands that t	he case be t	ried by a ju	ry. XI	YES L	NO	

CERTIFICATION

By signing this Complaint, I certify that the facts stated in this Complaint are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that if this certification is not correct, I may be subject to sanctions by the Court.

Signed this day of <u>Ab.</u> , 20 <u>O</u>
(Signature of plaintiff of plaintiffs)
_
TEKOME JAMES (Print name)
2006-00 3 4933
(I.D. Number)
P.o.Box 089002
Chicago, Illinois. 60608
(Address) (/